

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/689,721	08/12/1996	ANDREW M. PERRY		9016
7.	590 05/27/2003			
GREGORY T. KAVOUNAS MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. 1030 S.W. Morrison Street			EXAMINER LUEBKE, RENEE S	
			2833	49
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2003	フィ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No. 08/689,721

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

Examiner
Renee S. Luebke

2833

Perry



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
There ejec allow	REPLY FILED May 12, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. efore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final ction under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for vance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
e: a _l	xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate xtension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ppropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally et in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
ı. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
1 . 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. 🗆	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
3.□	by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. 🗆	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \square will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected:
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
3. 🗀	
9.□	
D.⊠	Other:see attached FRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2833

Application No. 08/689721

The terminal disclaimer filed on May 12, 2003 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of Patent Application 10/150411 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted. The application which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is not identified in the terminal disclaimer.

In addition, contrary to the statement at the end of the terminal disclaimer, Mr. Craig Rogers is not an attorney of record in the present application. The only attorney of record is Mr. Gregory Kavounas.